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## declarative/logic programming

idea: write a program that is a logical theory about some domain and then query it
most well known instance is Prolog
$\square$ core constructs, terms and statements, are inherited from first order logic

## terms

$\square$ Prolog statements express relationships among terms
$\square$ terms are (a generalization) of the same notion in first order logic, i.e. a constant, a variable, or a function applied to some argument terms
$\square$ E.g. john, john_smith, X, Node, _person, fatherOf(paul), date( $25,10,2005$ )
fatherOf and date are functors; date has arity 3; it takes 3 arguments

## terms

$\square$ variables begin with upper-case letter or _
$\square$ constants and functors (symbols) begin with lower-case
$\square$ terms denote objects
$\square$ compound terms are called structures
$\square$ E.g.
course(complexity,time(Monday,9,11),lecturer (patrick,dymond),location(CSE,3311))
used to represent complex data
$\square$ terms (usually) have a tree structure

## facts

$\square$ facts are like atomic formulas in first order logic.
syntax is same as terms, but ending with a period.
$\square$ e.g. fatherOf(paul,henry). mortal(ulyssus). likes(X,iceCream). likes(mary, brotherOf(helen)).
$\square$ variables are implicitly universally quantified.

## rules

$\square$ rules are conditional statements.
$\square$ e.g. mortal(X):- human(X).
i.e. $\forall x$ Human $(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mortal}(x)$, all humans are mortal.
$\square$ daughter $(X, Y)$ :- father $(Y, X)$, female $(X)$.
$\square$, represents conjunction.
$\square$ likes(mary,X) :- isSweet(X).

## rules

$\square$ ancestor(X,Y) :- father(X,Z), ancestor(Z,Y).
variables are universally quantified from outside; can think of variables that appear only in rule body as existentially quantified.

## queries

$\square$ A query asks whether a given statement is true, i.e. whether it follows from the program.
$\square$ e.g. ?- mortal(ulyssus). given mortal(X):- human(X). human(ulyssus). human(penelope). god(zeus).
Prolog answers Yes

## queries

$\square$ ?- mortal(X).
X = ulyssus ;
$X=$ penelope
Yes
variables in queries are existentially quantified; can be used to retrieve information.
$\square$ can have conjunctive queries, e.g. ?- mortal(X), mortal(Y), not(X = Y).

## lists

$\square$ lists are a special kind of term that allows arbitrary number of components

- [] is the empty list
- . $(a, b)$ is a dotted pair
$\square[a, b, c]=.(a, .(b, .(c,[])))$ is a list of 3 components.
$\square$ the functor . builds binary trees
$\square$ can use display $(X)$ to print internal representation of $X$


## lists

$\square$ can refer to the first and rest of a list using the notation: [First | Rest]
$\square$ e.g. ?- $X=[a, b, c], X=[F \mid R]$.
$X=[a, b, c]$
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{a}$
$R=[b, c]$
E.g. $X=[b], Y=a, Z=[Y \mid X]$.
$\mathrm{X}=$ [b]
$Y=a$
$Z=[a, b]$

## unification

$\square$ this was an instance of the kind of pattern matching called unification that Prolog performs
$\square$ Prolog tries to find a way to instantiate the variables (substitute terms for them) that satisfies the query
$\square$ more on this later

## terms can represent graphs

$\square$ ?- X = [a|X].
$X=[a, a, a, a, a, a, a, a, a \mid \ldots]$
Yes
$\square$ here $X$ denotes an infinite or circular list
$\square$ this is not allowed in first-order logic; a variable cannot denote a term and one of its subterms; but Prolog omits the "occurs check"

## building a knowledge base

$\square$ to be used in a computation, facts and rules must be stored in the (dynamic) database
$\square$ facts and rules get into the database through assertion and consultation
$\square$ consultation loads facts and rules from a file

## assertion

$\square$ ?- assert(human(ulyssus)).
$\square$ ?- human(X).
X = ulyssus
Yes
assertion can be done dynamically
$\square$ also retract to remove facts and rules from the DB
like assignment, change state; avoid when possible

## consultation

- ?- consult(' family.pl' ). loads facts and rules from file family.pl
$\square$ ?- [family].
does the same thing
$\square$ ?- [user].
lets you enter facts and rules from the keyboard


## denotation/meaning of Prolog programs

$\square$ a Prolog program defines a set of relations, i.e. specifies which tuples of objects/terms belong to a particular relation
in logic, this is called a model
$\square$ declarative programming is very different from usual procedural programming where programs perform many state changing operations

## denotation of Prolog program e.g.

$\square$ fatherOf(john,paul).
fatherOf(mary,paul).
motherOf(john,lisa).
parentOf(X,Y) :- fatherOf(X,Y).
parentOf $(X, Y)$ :- motherOf $(X, Y)$.
$\square$ fatherOf is the relation $\{<j o h n$, paul $>$, <mary,paul>\}
$\square$ what is the relation associated with motherOf and parentOf?

## rules as procedures

$\square$ rule has form goal :- body
$\square$ goal or head is like name of procedure
$\square$ terms on the RHS are like the body of the procedure, the sub-goals that have to be achieved to show that the goal holds
$\square$ the sub-goals will be attempted left-toright
$\square$ rule succeeds if all sub-goals succeed

## passing values

$\square$ calling/querying a goal can instantiate its variables
a sub-goal' s success can bind a variable within it, also binding the same variable in the goal
$\square$ binding or instantiating a variable is giving it a value
$\square$ compare to passing values into or out of a procedure

## passing values e.g.

- Assume program:
motherOf(john,lisa).
parentOf(X,Y) :- motherOf(X,Y).
- Queries:
?- parentOf(john,X).
$X=$ lisa Yes
?- parentOf(X,lisa).
$X=$ john Yes
?- parentOf(X,Y).
$X=$ john, $Y=$ lisa $Y e s$
$\square$ No fixed input and output parameters


## almost everything is syntactically a term

$\square$ lists are terms; what is the functor?
$\square$ rules are terms:
grandfather(X,Y):- father(X,Z),
father( $Z, Y$ ).
What are the functors?
$\square$ queries are terms

## operators

some functors are represented by infix or prefix or postfix operators
$\square$ Some infix operators: is, $=,+, *, /$, mod, >, >=,":-",",", etc.
$\square+$ and - are both prefix and infix
$\square$ :- as prefix is a command, used for declarations
operators have precedence
$\square$ can define our own operators

## arithmetic functions

$\square$ Prolog retains arithmetic functions as functions (more intuitive):
?- X is $\exp (1) . \% \exp (1)=\mathrm{e}^{1}$
$\mathrm{X}=2.71828$
Yes
?- $X$ is $(4+2) * 5$.
$X=30$
Yes
$\square$ How does is compare with =, assignment?

## relational thinking

in Prolog, formulate statements about function values as relational facts, e.g. factorial $(0,1)$.
factorial( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}$ ):- K is $\mathrm{N}-1$, factorial $(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{L})$,
M is $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~L}$.
to compose functions, e.g. $Y=f(g(X))$, you must name intermediate results $f g(X, Y):-g(X, Z), f(Z, Y)$.

## help is sometimes helpful

?- help(reverse).
reverse(+List1, -List2)
Reverse the order of the elements in List1 and unify the result with the elements of List2.
+arg: arg is input and should be instantiated.
-arg: arg is output and can be initially uninstantiated; if the query succeeds, the arg is instantiated with the "output" of the query.
?arg: arg can be either input or output

## online help

?- help(lists).
No help available for lists
Yes
?- apropos(lists).
merge/3 Merge two sorted lists
append/3 Concatenate lists
Section 11-1 "lists: List Manipulation"
Section 15-2-1 "lists"
Yes
?- help(append/3).
append(?List1, ?List2, ?List3)
Succeeds when List3 unifies with the concatenation of List1 and
List2. The predicate can be used with any instantiation pattern (even three variables).

## examples

?- append([a,b],[c],X).
X $=[a, b, c]$

Yes
?- append(X,[c],[a,b,c]).
X = [a, b]

Yes
?- append([a,b],[c],[a,b,d]).

No

## more examples

?- append([a,b],X,Y).
X = _G187
$Y=\left[a, b \mid \_G 187\right]$
Yes
?- append ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ ).
$\mathrm{X}=[]$
$\mathrm{Y}=$ _G181
Z = _-G181;
X $=$ [_G262]
$Y=$ _G181
Z = [_G262|_G181] ;
X = [_G262, _G268]
Y = _G181
Z = [_G262, _G268|_G181]
append is an example of a reversible or steadfast predicate (Richard O' Keefe)

## reversible programming

$\square$ good predicates are steadfast
$\square$ they gives correct answers even if unusual values are supplied
e. g. variables for inputs, constants for outputs
non-steadfast predicates require
specific arguments to be instantiated (input) or variables (output)

## unification

$\square$ Prolog matches terms by unifying them, i.e. applying a most general unifier to them
$\square$ it instantiates variables as little as possible to make them match, e.g.

```
?- X = f(Y,b,Z), X = f(a,V,W).
X = f(a,b,_G182)
Y = a
Z = _G182
V = b
W = _G182
```


## family relations

$\square$ the database:
rules
parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child). parent(Parent, Child) :- father(Parent, Child).
facts
father('George', 'Elizabeth'). father('George', 'Margaret'). mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth'). mother('Mary', 'Margaret').

Note encoding of disjunction

## finding all solutions

```
| ?- parent(Parent, Child).
Parent = 'Mary',
Child = 'Elizabeth' ;
Parent = 'Mary',
Child = 'Margaret' ;
Parent = 'George',
Child = 'Elizabeth' ;
Parent = 'George',
Child = 'Margaret' ;
no
```


## how prolog finds solutions

trace] ?parent(Parent, Child1), parent(Parent, Child2), not(Child1 = Child2).
Call: (8) parent(_G313, G314) ? creep
Call: (9) mother(_G313, G314) ? creep
Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Exit: (8) parent('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Call: (8) parent('Mary', _G317) ? creep
Call: (9) mother('Mary', _G317) ? creep

Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Exit: (8) parent('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Redo: (9) mother('Mary', _G317) ? creep
Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Margaret') ? creep
Exit: (8) parent('Mary',
'Margaret') ? creep

Parent = 'Mary'
Child1 = 'Elizabeth'
Child2 $=$ 'Margaret'

## Prolog' s query answering process

a query is a conjunction of terms
answer to the query is yes if all terms succeed
A term in a query succeeds if
$\square$ it matches a fact in the database or

- it matches the head of a rule whose body succeeds
$\square$ the substitution used to unify the term and the fact/head is applied to the rest of the query
$\square$ works on query terms in left to right order; databases facts/rules that match are tried in top to bottom order


## recursion examples

## generating permutations

$\square$ A permutation $P$ of a list $L$ is a list whose first is some element $E$ of $L$ and whose rest is a permutation of $L$ with $E$ removed.
$\square$ [] is a permutation of []
$\square$ In Prolog:
permutation([],[]).
permutation(L,[E|PR]) :- select(E,L,R), permutation(R,PR).

## selecting an element from a list

$\square$ To select an element from a list, can either select the first leaving the rest, or select some element from the rest and leaving the first plus the unselected elements from the rest.
$\square$ In Prolog:
select ( $X,[X \mid R], R$ ).
select( $\mathrm{X},[\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{R}],[\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{RS}])$ :- $\operatorname{select}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{RS})$.

## sorting by the definition

Find a permutation that is ordered sort(L,P):- permutation(L,P), ordered $(P)$.
ordered([]).
ordered([E]).
ordered([E1,E2|R]) :- E1 <= E2, ordered([E2|R]).
an example of "generate and test"

## reverse

reverse $(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{RL})$ holds if $R L$ is a list with the components of $L$ reversed
$\square$ ordinary recursive definition
reverse([],[]).
reverse([F|R],RL):- reverse(R,RR), append(RR, $[F], R L)$.
append([],L,L).
append([F|R],L,[F|RL]):append(R,L,RL).

## reverse

$\square$ Tail recursive definition:
reverse(L,RL):- reverse(L,[],RL). reverse([],Acc,Acc).
reverse([F|R],Acc,RL):-
reverse( $R,[F \mid A c c], R L)$.
$\square$ recursive call is last thing done
$\square$ can avoid saving calls on stack

## solving a logic puzzle with Prolog

## the zebra puzzle

1. There are 5 houses, occupied by politically-incorrect gentlemen of 5 different nationalities, who all have different coloured houses, keep different pets, drink different drinks, and smoke different (now-extinct) brands of cigarettes.
2. The Englishman lives in a red house.
3. The Spaniard keeps a dog.
4. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
5. The ivory house is just to the left of the green house.
6. The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.

Who owns the zebra and who drinks water?

## Prolog implementation

represent the 5 houses by a structure of 5 terms
house(Colour, Nationality, Pet, Drink, Cigarettes)
create a partial structure using variables, to be filled by the solution process
specify constraints to instantiate variables

## house building

makehouses(0,[]).
makehouses(N,[house(Col, Nat, Pet, Drk, Cig)|List])
:- N>0, N1 is N-1, makehouses(N1,List).
or more cleanly with anonymous variables:
makehouses(N,[house(_, _, _, _, _)|List])
:- N>0, N1 is N-1, makehouses(N1,List).

Why is this equivalent? (See p. 159.)

## the empty houses

?- makehouses(5, List).

List = [house(_G233, _G234, _G235, _G236, _G237), house(_G245, _G246, _G247, _G248, _G249), house(_G257, _G258, _G259, _G260, _G261), house(_G269, _G270, _G271, _G272, _G273), house(_G281, _G282, _G283, _G284, _G285)]

## constraints

$\square \quad$ The Englishman lives in a red house.
house(red, englishman, _, _, _) on List,

- The Spaniard keeps a dog.
house( _, spaniard, dog, _, _) on List,
$\square \quad$ The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
house(green, _, _, coffee, _) on List
$\square \quad$ The ivory house is just to the left of the green house sublist2( [house(ivory,
house(green, _, $\quad$-' $\quad-\prime \quad$-' $^{\prime} \quad$ _)], List),
- The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { nextto(house( _, _, -, _, chesterfields), } \\
& \text { house( _, _, fox, _, _), List), }
\end{aligned}
$$

## defining the on operator

$\square$ on is a user-defined infix operator that is a version of member/2
$\square:-\mathrm{op}(100, x f y, o n)$.
X on List :- member(X,List). amounts to
$X$ on [ $X \mid \_$].
X on [_|R]:- X on R.

## predicates for defining constraints

"just to the left of"? "lives next to"?
define sublist(S,L)
sublist2([S1, S2], [S1, S2 | _]).
sublist2(S, [_| T]) :- sublist2(S, T).
$\square$ define nextto predicate nextto(H1, H2, L) :- sublist2([H1, H2], L). nextto(H1, H2 ,L) :- sublist2([H2, H1], L).

## translating the constraints

$\square$ The ivory house is just to the left of the green house sublist2( [house(ivory, _, _, _, _), house(green, _, _, _, _)], List),
$\square$ The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.
nextto(house( _, _, _, _, chesterfields), house( _, _, fox, _, _), List),

## looking for the zebra

$\square$ Who owns the zebra and who drinks water?
find(ZebraOwner, WaterDrinker) :-
makehouses(5, List),
house(red, englishman, _ı _, _) on List,
... \% all other constraints
house( _, WaterDrinker, _, water, _) on List,
house( _, ZebraOwner, zebra, _, _) on List.
$\square$ solution is generated and queried in the same clause
neither water or zebra are mentioned in the constraints

## solving the puzzle

?- [zebra].
\% zebra compiled $0.00 \mathrm{sec}, 5,360$ bytes
Yes
?- find(ZebraOwner, WaterDrinker).
ZebraOwner = japanese
WaterDrinker = norwegian ;
No

## how Prolog finds solution

After first 8 constraints:
List $=$ [
house(red, englishman, snail, _G251, old_gold), house(green, spaniard, dog, coffee, _G264), house(ivory, ukrainian, _G274, tea, _G276), house(green, _G285, _G286, _G287, _G288), house(yellow, _G297, _G298, _G299, kools)]

## how Prolog solves the puzzle

Then need to satisfy "the owner of the third house drinks milk", i.e.
List = [_, _, house( _, _, _, milk, _),_, _],
Can't be done with current instantiation of List. So Prolog will backtrack and find another.

## how Prolog solves the puzzle

The unique complete solution is
L = [
house(yellow, norwegian, fox, water, kools),
house(blue, ukrainian, horse, tea, chesterfields),
house(red, englishman, snail, milk, old_gold), house(ivory, spaniard, dog, orange,
lucky_strike),
house(green, japanese, zebra, coffee, parliaments)]
See course web page for code of the example.

