

| Outline | |
|--|---|
| A look at 3 ways of data link error control | |
| Progressively more efficient (and complex) means of transmission & re-transmission | |
| Can also be employed (and definitely is) by the higher layers (e.g. transport) | |
| | |
| CSE 3213, W14 L16: ARQ | 2 |



















| | Example: Impact of Delay-Bandwidth Product n_f = 1250 bytes = 10000 bits (frame size) n = n = 25 bytes = 200 bits (overhead & ACK size) | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | 2xDelayxBW | 1 ms | 10 ms | 100 ms | 1 sec | |
| | Efficiency | 200 km | 2000 km | 20000 km | 200000 km | |
| | 1 Mbps | 10 ³ | 10 ⁴ | 10 ⁵ | 10 ⁶ | |
| | (10 ms) | 88% | 49% | 9% | 1% | |
| | 1 Gbps | 10 ⁶ | 10 ⁷ | 10 ⁸ | 10 ⁹ | |
| | (0.1 ms) | 1% | 0.1% | 0.01% | 0.001% | |
| Stop-and-Wait does not work well for very high speeds or long propagation delays | | | | | | |
| CSE 3213, W14 L16: ARQ | | | | 12 | | |



| Example: Impact Bit Error Rate | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| n_f = 1250 bytes = 10000 bits | | | | | |
| • $n_a = n_o = 25$ bytes = 200 bits | | | | | |
| Find efficien | cy for rand | dom bit error | s with p=0, ⁻ | 10 ⁻⁶ , 10 ⁻⁵ , 10 ⁻⁴ | |
| $1 - P_f = (1 - p)^{n_f} \approx e^{-n_f p}$ for large n_f and small p | | | | | |
| $1 - P_f$ Efficiency | 0 | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁵ | 10-4 | |
| 1 Mbps | 1 | 0.99 | 0.905 | 0.368 | |
| & 1 ms | 88% | 86.6% | 79.2% | 32.2% | |
| Bit errors impact performance as n_fp approaches 1 | | | | | |
| CSE 3213, W14 L16: ARQ 14 | | | | | |















| Required Window Size for Delay-Bandwidth Product | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--------|--|--|--|
| ſ | Frame = 1250 bytes =10,000 bits, <i>R</i> = 1 Mbps | | | | | |
| | 2(t _{prop} + t _{proc}) | 2 x Delay x BW | Window | | | |
| | 1 ms | 1000 bits | 2 | | | |
| | 10 ms | 10,000 bits | 2 | | | |
| | 100 ms | 100,000 bits | 11 | | | |
| | 1 second | 1,000,000 bits | 101 | | | |
| CSE 3213, W14 L16: ARQ 22 | | | | | | |



| | Example: Impact of BER on GBN | | | | | | |
|--|--|------|------------------|------------------|------|--|--|
| | <i>n_f</i> = 1250 bytes = 10000 bits <i>n_a</i>=<i>n_o</i>=25 bytes = 200 bits Compare S&W with GBN efficiency for random bit errors with <i>p</i> = 0, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁴ and <i>R</i> = 1 Mbps & 2*delay = 100 ms 1 Mbps x 100 ms = 100000 bits = 10 frames → Use W_a = 11 | | | | | | |
| | Efficiency | 0 | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁵ | 10-4 | | |
| | S&W | 8.9% | 8.8% | 8.0% | 3.3% | | |
| | GBN | 98% | 88.2% | 45.4% | 4.9% | | |
| Go-Back-N significant improvement over Stop-and-Wait for large delay- bandwidth product Go-Back-N becomes inefficient as error rate increases | | | | | | | |
| cs | CSE 3213, W14 L16: ARQ 24 | | | | | | |

















| Example: Impact of BER on Selective Repeat | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|------------------|------------------|------|
| n_f = 1250 bytes = 10000 bits n_a = n_o = 25 bytes = 200 bits Compare S&W, GBN & SR efficiency for random bit errors with p=0, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁴ and <i>R</i> = 1 Mbps & reaction time = 100 ms | | | | | |
| | Efficiency | 0 | 10 ⁻⁶ | 10 ⁻⁵ | 10-4 |
| | S&W | 8.9% | 8.8% | 8.0% | 3.3% |
| | GBN | 98% | 88.2% | 45.4% | 4.9% |
| | SR | 98% | 97% | 89% | 36% |
| Selective Repeat outperforms GBN and S&W, but efficiency drops as error rate increases | | | | | |
| cs | E 3213, W14 | | L16: ARQ | | 33 |



