# Equivalence Class Testing 

## Chapter 6

## Introduction

- Boundary Value Testing derives test cases with
- Massive redundancy
- Serious gaps
- Equivalence Class Testing attempts to alleviate these problems
- Two orthogonal dimensions
- Robustness
- Single/Multiple Fault Assumption


## Equivalence Class Testing

- Partition the set of all test cases into mutually disjoint subsets whose union is the entire set
- Choose one test case from each subset
- Two important implications for testing:

1. The fact that the entire set is represented provides a form of completeness
2. The disjointness assures a form of nonredundancy

## Equivalence Class Selection

- If the equivalence classes are chosen wisely, the potential redundancy among test cases is greatly reduced.
- The key point in equivalence class testing is the choice of the equivalence relation that determines the classes.
- We will differentiate below, between four different types of equivalence class testing.


## Applicability

- Equivalence Class Testing is appropriate when the system under test can be expressed as a function of one or more variables, whose domains have well defined intervals
- For a two-variable function $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2)$
$a \leq x_{1} \leq d$, with intervals $[a, b),[b, c),[c, d]$
$e \leq x_{2} \leq g$, with intervals [e,f), $[f, g]$


## Weak Normal ECT



## Strong Normal ECT



## Weak Robust ECT



## Strong Robust ECT



## Triangle Equivalence Classes

- Four possible outputs:
- Not a Triangle, Isosceles, Equilateral, Scalene
- We can use these to identify output (range) equivalence classes:
$R 1=\{$ the triangle with sides $a, b, c$, is equilateral\}
$R 2=$ \{the triangle with sides $a, b, c$, is isosceles\}
$R 3=\{$ the triangle with sides $a, b, c$, is scalene $\}$
$R 4=\{$ sides $a, b, c$ do not form a triangle $\}$


## Weak Normal Test Cases

| Test Case | a | b | c | Expected <br> Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WN1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | Equilateral |
| WN2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | Isosceles |
| WN3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Scalene |
| WN4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | Not a <br> Triangle |

## Weak Robust Test Cases

| Test Case | a | b | c | Expected <br> Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR1 | -1 | 5 | 5 | a not in range |
| WR2 | 5 | -1 | 5 | b not in range |
| WR3 | 5 | 5 | -1 | c not in range |
| WR4 | 201 | 5 | 5 | a not in range |
| WR5 | 5 | 201 | 5 | b not in range |
| WR6 | 5 | 5 | 201 | c not in range |

## Input equivalence classes

$D 1=\{\langle a, b, c>| a=b=c\}$
$D 2=\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid a=b, a \neq c\}$
$D 3=\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid a=c, a \neq b\}$
D4 $=\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid b=c, a \neq b\}$
D5 $=\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid a \neq b, a \neq c, b \neq c\}$
D6= $\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid a \geq b+c\}$
D7= $\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid b \geq a+c\}$
$D 8=\{\langle a, b, c\rangle \mid c \geq a+b\}$

## NextDate Equivalence Classes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M1 }=\{\text { month } \mid \text { month has } 30 \text { days }\} \\
& \text { M2 }=\{\text { month } \mid \text { month has } 31 \text { days }\} \\
& \text { M3 }=\{\text { month } \mid \text { month is February }\} \\
& \text { D1 }=\{\text { day } \mid 1 \leq \text { day } \leq 28\} \\
& \text { D2 }=\{\text { day } \mid \text { day }=29\} \\
& \text { D3 }=\{\text { day } \mid \text { day }=30\} \\
& \text { D4 }=\{\text { day } \mid \text { day }=31\} \\
& \mathrm{Y} 1=\{\text { year } \mid \text { year }=1900\} \\
& \mathrm{Y} 2=\{\text { year } \mid \text { year is a leap year }\} \\
& \mathrm{Y} 3=\{\text { year } \mid \text { year is a common year }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weak Normal Test Cases

| Test Case | Month | Day | Year | Expected <br> Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WN1 | 6 | 14 | 1900 | $6 / 15 / 1900$ |
| WN2 | 7 | 29 | 1996 | $7 / 30 / 1996$ |
| WN3 | 2 | 30 | 2002 | Invalid <br> input date |
| WN4 | 6 | 31 | 1900 | Invalid <br> input date |

## NextDate discussion

- There are 36 strong normal test cases ( $3 \times 4 \times 3$ )
- Some redundancy creeps in
- Testing February 30 and 31 for three different types of years seems unlikely to reveal errors
- There are 150 strong robust test cases (5 x $6 \times 5$ )


## Guidelines and observations

- Equivalence Class Testing is appropriate when input data is defined in terms of intervals and sets of discrete values.
- Equivalence Class Testing is strengthened when combined with Boundary Value Testing
- Strong equivalence takes the presumption that variables are independent. If that is not the case, redundant test cases may be generated


## Guidelines and observations

- Complex functions, such as the NextDate program, are well-suited for Equivalence Class Testing
- Several tries may be required before the "right" equivalence relation is discovered

