Decision Table-Based Testing

Chapter 7

Decision Tables - Wikipedia

- A precise yet compact way to model complicated logic
- Associate conditions with actions to perform
- Can associate many independent conditions with several actions in an elegant way

Decision Table Terminology

Stub	Rule 1	Rule 2	Rules 3,4	Rule 5	Rule 6	Rules 7,8
c1	Т	Т	Т	F	F	F
c2	Т	Т	F	Т	Т	F
c3	Т	F	-	Т	F	-
a1	Х	Х		Х		
a2	Х				Х	
a3		Х		Х		
a4			Х			Х

Printer Troubleshooting DT

	Printer does not print	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N
Conditions	A red light is flashing	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N
	Printer is unrecognized	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Ν	Y	N
	Heck the power cable			x					
	Check the printer-computer cable	x		x					
Actions	Ensure printer software is installed	x		x		х		х	
	Check/replace ink	x	x			х	Х		
	Check for paper jam		х		х				

Let's try this for the Triangle problem

Triangle Decision Table

	1	-	1	1			1		-		
C1: a < b+c?	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	Т	Т
C2: b < a+c?	-	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
C3: c < a+b?	-	-	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
C4: a = b?	-	-	-	Т	Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F
C5: a = c?	-	-	-	Т	Т	F	F	Т	Т	F	F
C6: b = c?	-	-	-	Т	F	Т	F	Т	F	Т	F
A1: Not a Triangle	X	X	X								
A2: Scalene											X
A3: Isosceles							Х		X	Х	
A4: Equilateral				X							
A5: Impossible					Х	Х		Х			

Triangle Test Cases

Case ID	а	b	С	Expected Output
DT1	4	1	2	Not a Triangle
DT2	1	4	2	Not a Triangle
DT3	1	2	4	Not a Triangle
DT4	5	5	5	Equilateral
DT5	?	?	?	Impossible
DT6	?	?	?	Impossible
DT7	2	2	3	Isosceles
DT8	?	?	?	Impossible
DT9	2	3	2	Isosceles
DT10	3	2	2	Isosceles
DT11	3	4	5	Scalene

NextDate Decision Table

- The NextDate problem illustrates the problem of dependencies in the input domain
- Decision tables can highlight such dependencies
- Impossible dates can be clearly marked as a separate action
- Let's try it...

NextDate Equivalence Classes

 $M1 = \{month \mid month has 30 days\}$ M2= {month | month has 31 days} M3= {month | month is February} $D1 = \{ day \mid 1 \le day \le 28 \}$ $D2 = \{ day \mid day = 29 \}$ $D3 = \{ day \mid day = 30 \}$ $D4 = \{ day \mid day = 31 \}$ $Y1 = \{year \mid year = 1900\}$ Y2= {year | year is a leap year} Y3= {year | year is a common year}

NextDate DT (1st try - partial)

							-				
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т									
			Т	Т	Т						
						Т	Т	Т			
									Т	Т	Т
Т			Т			Т			Т		
	Т			Т			Т			Т	
		Т			Т			Т			Т
									Х	Х	Х
X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	Х			
		T	. . . T T T T T . T T . T T . T T . T T . T T . T T . T T . T T . T T . T T .	I I I I T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 1 1 1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 1 1 1 1 T T T I I T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 1 1 1 1 1 T T T I I I T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T 1 T T T T T T 1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T <t< td=""><td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T 1 1 1 1 T T T T T 1 1 1 1 T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T 1</td><td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T T 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T T T 1 1 1 1 T T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1</td><td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td></t<>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T 1 1 1 1 T T T T T 1 1 1 1 T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T T 1 1 1 1 1 T T T T T T 1 1 1 1 T T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1 1 1 T T T T T T T T 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

NextDate DT (2nd try - part 1)

C1: month in	M1	M1	M1	M1	M2	M2	M2	M2
C2: day in	D1	D2	D3	D4	D1	D2	D3	D4
C3: year in	-	-	-	-	Ι	-	-	-
A1: Impossible				Х				
A2: Increment day	X	X			Х	Х	Х	
A3: Reset day			X					Х
A4: Increment month			Х					?
A5: reset month								?
A6: Increment year								?

NextDate DT (2nd try - part 2)

C1: month in	M3							
C2: day in	D1	D1	D1	D2	D2	D2	D3	D3
C3: year in	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y1	Y2	Y3	-	-
A1: Impossible				Х		Х	Х	X
A2: Increment day		Х						
A3: Reset day	X		X		Х			
A4: Increment month	Х		X		Х			
A5: reset month								
A6: Increment year								

New Equivalence Classes

M1= {month | month has 30 days} M2= {month | month has 31 days} M3= {month | month is December} M4= {month | month is February} $D1 = \{ day \mid 1 \le day \le 27 \}$ $D2 = \{ day \mid day = 28 \}$ $D3 = \{ day \mid day = 29 \}$ $D4 = \{ day \mid day = 30 \}$ $D5 = \{ day \mid day = 31 \}$ $Y1 = \{year \mid year is a leap year\}$ Y2= {year | year is a common year}

NextDate DT (3rd try - part 1)

C1: month in	M1	M1	M1	M1	M1	M2	M2	M2	M2	M2
C2: day in	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5
C3: year in	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A1: Impossible					Х					
A2: Increment day	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	
A3: Reset day				Х						Х
A4: Increment month				Х						Х
A5: reset month										
A6: Increment year										

NextDate DT (3rd try - part 2)

C1: month in	M3	M3	M3	M3	M3	M4						
C2: day in	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D1	D2	D2	D3	D3	D4	D5
C3: year in	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	-	-
A1: Impossible										Х	Х	Х
A2: Increment day	X	X	Х	X		X	Х					
A3: Reset day					Х			Х	Х			
A4: Increment month								Х	Х			
A5: reset month					Х							
A6: Increment year					Х							

Test Case Design

- To identify test cases with decision tables, we interpret conditions as inputs, and actions as outputs.
- Sometimes conditions end up referring to equivalence classes of inputs, and actions refer to major functional processing portions of the item being tested.
- The rules are then interpreted as test cases.

Applicability

- The specification is given or can be converted to a decision table .
- The order in which the predicates are evaluated does not affect the interpretation of the rules or resulting action.
- The order of rule evaluation has no effect on resulting action.
- Once a rule is satisfied and the action selected, no other rule need be examined.
- The order of executing actions in a satisfied rule is of no consequence.

Applicability

- The restrictions do not in reality eliminate many potential applications.
 - In most applications, the order in which the predicates are evaluated is immaterial.
 - Some specific ordering may be more efficient than some other but in general the ordering is not inherent in the program's logic.

Decision Tables - Issues

- Before deriving test cases, ensure that
 - The rules are complete
 - Every combination of predicate truth values is explicit in the decision table
 - The rules are consistent
 - Every combination of predicate truth values results in only one action or set of actions

Guidelines and Observations

- Decision Table testing is most appropriate for programs where
 - There is a lot of decision making
 - There are important logical relationships among input variables
 - There are calculations involving subsets of input variables
 - There are cause and effect relationships between input and output
 - There is complex computation logic (high cyclomatic complexity)

Guidelines and Observations

- Decision tables do not scale up very well
 - May need to
 - Use extended entry decision tables
 - Algebraically simplify tables
- Decision tables can be iteratively refined
 - The first attempt may be far from satisfactory

Variable Negation Strategy

- An approach that can help with the scaling problems of decision table-based testing
- Applicable when the system under test can be represented as a truth table (binary input and output)
- Designed to select a small subset of the 2^N test cases

Example truth table

Variant	Normal Pressure	Call For Heat	Damper Shut	Manual Mode	Ignition Enable
Number	Α	В	С	D	Z
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0
2	0	0	1	0	0
3	0	0	1	1	0
4	0	1	0	0	0
5	0	1	0	1	0
6	0	1	1	0	0
7	0	1	1	1	0
8	1	0	0	0	0
9	1	0	0	1	1
10	1	0	1	0	0
11	1	0	1	1	1
12	1	1	0	0	1
13	1	1	0	1	1
14	1	1	1	0	0
15	1	1	1	1	1 22

Deriving the Logic Function

- Review boolean algebra
 - **AB** = A and B
 - **A+B** = A or B
 - ~A = not A
- A logic function maps n boolean input variables to a boolean output variable
- A truth table is an enumeration of all possible input and output values

Logic function

- The logic function for the example is
 Z = AB~C + AD
- Several techniques to derive it
 - Karnaugh maps
 - Cause-effect graphs
- A compact logic function will produce more powerful test cases

Variable Negation Strategy

- Designed to reveal faults that hide in a don't care
- The test suite contains:
 - Unique true points: A variant per term t, so that t is True and all other terms are False
 - Near False Points: A variant for each literal in a term. The variant is obtained by negating the literal and is selected only if it makes Z=0
- Each variant creates a test candidate set
- Unique true point candidate sets in boiler example: {12} {9,11,15}

Negation variants

Candidate set number	Term negation	Variants containing this negation	Variants containing this negation where Z=0
2	ABC	14,15	14
3	A~B~C	8,9	8
4	~AB~C	4,5	4,5
6	A~D	8,10,12,14	8,10,14
7	~AD	1,3,5,7	1,3,5,7

Selecting the test cases

- At least one variant from each candidate set
- Can be done by inspection
- Random selection is also used
- Near False Points exercise combinations of don't care values
- 6% of all possible tests are created
- 98% of simulated bugs can be found

Test suite

Candidate sets
 12
 14
 8
 4,5
 9,11,15
 8,10,14
 1,3,5,7

- Minimum Test suite
 5