

Test Code Patterns

How to design your test code



Testing and Inheritance

- Should you retest inherited methods?
- Can you reuse superclass tests for inherited and overridden methods?
- To what extent should you exercise interaction among methods of all superclasses and of the subclass under test?

2



Inheritance

- In the early years people thought that inheritance will reduce the need for testing
 - Claim 1: "If we have a well-tested superclass, we can reuse its code (in subclasses, through inheritance) with confidence and without retesting inherited code"
 - Claim 2: "A good-quality test suite used for a superclass will also be sufficient for a subclass"
- Both claims are wrong.

3



Inheritance-related bugs

- Missing Override
 - A subclass omits to provide a specialized version of a superclass method
 - Subclass objects will have to use the superclass version, which might not be appropriate
 - E.g. method equals in Object tests for reference equality. In a given class, it might be right to override this behaviour

4



Inheritance-related bugs

- Direct access to superclass fields from the subclass code
 - Changes to the superclass implementation can create subclass bugs
 - Subclass bugs or side effects can cause failure in superclass methods
 - If a superclass is changed, all subclasses need to be tested
 - If a subclass is changed, superclass features used in the subclass must be retested



Testing of Inheritance

- Principle: inherited methods should be retested in the context of a subclass
- Example 1: if we change some method
 m in a superclass, we need to retest m
 inside all subclasses that inherit it

6



Example 2

```
class B extends A {
  void m2() { x = 1; } }
```

- If we add a new method m2 that has a bug and breaks the invariant, method m is incorrect in the context of B even though it is correct in A
 - Therefore, m should be tested in B



Example 3

```
class A {
void m() { ...; m2(); ... }
void m2() { ... }

class B extends A {
   void m2() { ... } }
```

- If inside B we override a method from A, this indirectly affects other methods inherited from A
 - e.g., method m calls B.m2, not A.m2: so, we cannot be sure that m is correct anymore and we need to retest it inside B



Testing of Inheritance (cont)

- Test cases developed for a method m defined in class A are not necessarily sufficient for retesting m in subclasses of A
 - e.g., if m calls m2 in A and then some subclass overrides m2 we have a completely new interaction that may not be covered well by the old test cases for m
- Still it is essential to run all superclass tests on a subclass
 - Goal: check behavioral conformance of the subclass w.r.t. the superclass (LSP)

9



Inheritance-related bugs

- Square Peg in a Round Hole
 - Design Problem
 - A subclass is incorrectly located in a hierarchy
 - Liskov Substitution Principle: Functions that use references to base classes must be able to use objects of derived classes without knowing it.

10



An example

Consider class Rectangle below

```
class Rectangle{
  public void setWidth(double w) {itsWidth=w;}
  public void setHeight(double h) {itsHeight=w;}
  public double getHeight() {return itsHeight;}
  public double getWidth() {return itsWidth;}

  private double itsWidth;
  private double itsHeight;
};
```



An example

- Assume that the system containing Rectangle needs to deal with squares as well
- Since a square is a rectangle, it seems to make sense to have a new class Square that extends Rectangle
- That very "reasonable" design can cause some significant problems



Problems with this design

- Do not need both itsHeight and itsWidth
- setWidth and setHeight can bring a Square object to a corrupt state (when height is not equal to width)

```
class Square{
    setWidth(double w) {
    super.setWidth(w);
    super.setHeight(w);
}

// Similar for setHeight
}
```



Not really a solution

Consider this client code

```
Rectangle r;
...
r.setWidth(5);
r.setHeight(4);
assert(r.getWidth() * r.getHeight()) == 20);
```

 The problem is definitely not with the client code

. .



What went wrong?

- The Liskov substitution principle was violated
 - If you are expecting a rectangle, you can not accept a square
- The overridden versions of setWidth and setHeight broke the postconditions of their superclass versions
- Isn't a square a rectangle? Yes, but not when it pertains to its behaviour

15



Effect of Inheritance on Testing?

- Does not reduce the volume of test cases
- Rather, number of interactions to be verified goes up at each level of the hierarchy

16



Polymorphic Server Test

- Consider all test cases that exercise polymorphic methods
- According to LSP, these should apply at every level of the inheritance hierarchy
- Expand each test case into a set of test cases, one for each polymorphic variation

17



An example

```
class TestAccount {
   Account a;
   @Before
   public void setUp() {
        a = new Account();
   }
   @Test
   public final void testDeposit() {
        a.deposit(100);
        assertTrue(a.getBalance()==100);
   }
}
```



An example



Testing abstract classes

- Abstract classes cannot be instantiated
- However, they define an interface and behaviour (contracts) that implementing classes will have to adhere to
- We would like to test abstract classes for functional compliance
 - Functional Compliance is a module's compliance with some documented or published functional specification

20



Functional vs. syntactic compliance

- The compiler can easily test that a class is syntactically compliant to an interface
 - All methods in the interface have to be implemented with the correct signature
- Tougher to test functional compliance
 - A class implementing the interface java.util.List may be implementing get(int index) or isEmpty() incorrectly
- Think LSP...

21



Abstract Test Pattern

- This pattern provides the following
 - A way to build a test suite that can be reused across descendants
 - A test suite that can be reused for future as-yet-unidentified descendants
 - Especially useful for writers of APIs.

22



An example

 Consider a statistics application that uses the Strategy design pattern

```
public interface StatPak
    {
      public void reset();
      public void addValue(double x);
      public double getN();
      public double getMean();
      public double getStdDev();
    }
```



Abstract Test Rule 1

- Write an abstract test class for every interface and abstract class
- An abstract test should have test cases that cannot be overridden
- It should also have an abstract Factory Method for creating instances of the class to be tested.



Example abstract test class

```
public abstract TestStatPak {
  private StatPak statPak;
  @Before
  public final setUp() throws Exception {
    statPak = createStatPak();
    assertNotNull(statPak);
  }
  // Factory Method. Every test class of a
  // concrete subclass K must override this
  // to return an instance of K
  public abstract StatPak createStatPak();
  //Continued in next slide...
```



Example abstract test class

```
@Test
public final void testMean() {
   statPak.addValue(2.0);
   statPak.addValue(3.0);
   statPak.addValue(4.0);
   statPak.addValue(2.0);
   statPak.addValue(4.0);
   statPak.addValue(4.0);
   assertEquals("Mean value of test data should be 3.0", 3.0,statPak.getMean());
}
@Test
public final void testStdDev() { ... }}
```



Abstract Test Rule 2

- Write a concrete test class for every implementation of the interface (or abstract class)
- The concrete test class should extend the abstract test class and implement the factory method

27



Example concrete test class

```
public class TestSuperSlowStatPak
  extends TestStatPak {
   public StatPak createStatPak()
   {
     return new SuperSlowStatPak();
   }
}
```

Only a few lines of code and all the test cases for the interface have been reused

28



Guideline

- Tests defining the functionality of the interface belong in the abstract test class
- Tests specific to an implementation belong in a concrete test class
 - We can add more test cases to TestSuperSlowStatPak that are specific to its implementation



Crash Test Dummy

- Most software systems contain a large amount of error handling code
- Sometimes, it is quite hard to create the situation that will cause the error
 - Example: Error creating a file because the file system is full
- Solution: Fake it!

```
public void testFileSystemFull() {
    File f = new FullFile("foo");
    try {
        saveAs(f);
        fail();
    }
    catch (IOException e)
    {}
}
```



Log String

- Often one needs to test that the sequence in which methods are called is correct
- Solution: Have each method append to a log string when it is called
 - Then, assert that the log string is the correct one
 - Requires changes to the implementation

34



Accessing private fields

- Object-oriented design guidelines often designate that certain fields should be private / protected
- This can be a problem for testing since a tester may need to assert certain conditions about private fields
- Making these fields public defeats the purpose

A solution

- Using reflection, one can actually call private methods and access private attributes!
- An example

```
class A {
  private String sayHello(String name) {
    return "Hello, " + name;
  }
}
```