

Concurrent Object-Oriented Languages

The Java Memory Model

`wiki.eecs.yorku.ca/course/6490A`

We restrict our attention to interthread actions, that is, those you would see if you were standing at the interface between processor and memory.

Formal specification of actions

An **action** is described by a tuple $\langle t, k, v \rangle$ where

- t is the thread performing the action,
- k is the kind of action:
 - volatile read;
 - volatile write;
 - non-volatile read;
 - non-volatile write;
 - lock;
 - unlock;
 - special synchronization actions;
 - thread divergence actions;
 - external actions,
- v is the variable or monitor involved in the action, and
- u is an arbitrary unique identifier for the action.

Synchronization actions

Synchronization actions include

- locks,
- unlocks,
- reads of volatile variables, and
- writes to volatile variables.

An execution consists of

- its actions
- for each thread, the program order of the actions, and
- the synchronization order of the synchronization actions.

Program order

The **program order** is a total order of the actions of a thread in an execution that reflects the order in which these actions in the code (I think).

Synchronization order

The **synchronization order** is a total order of the synchronization actions of an execution.

For the synchronization actions of a thread, the program and synchronization orders coincide.

The synchronizes-with order

The **synchronizes-with order** is defined in terms of the synchronization order.

For each unlock action u and lock action ℓ , if $u.v = \ell.v$ and $u \xrightarrow{so} \ell$ then $u \xrightarrow{sw} \ell$.

For each volatile read r and volatile write w , if $r.v = w.v$ and $w \xrightarrow{so} r$ then $w \xrightarrow{sw} r$.

Recall that $a.v$ is the variable or monitor involved in the action a .

Definition

The **reflexive and transitive closure** $\text{closure}(R)$ of a binary relation R on X is the smallest binary relation on X such that

- $\text{closure}(R)$ contains R ,
for all $x, y \in X$, if $x R y$ then $x \text{ closure}(R) y$,
- $\text{closure}(R)$ is reflexive
for all $x \in X$, $x \text{ closure}(R) x$,
- $\text{closure}(R)$ is transitive
for all $x, y, z \in X$, if $x \text{ closure}(R) y$ and $y \text{ closure}(R) z$ then $x \text{ closure}(R) z$.

The happens-before order

The **happens-before order** is defined in terms of the program order and the synchronizes-with order.

$$\xrightarrow{hb} = \text{closure}(\xrightarrow{po} \cup \xrightarrow{sw}).$$

Formal specification of executions

An **execution** is described by a tuple $\langle P, A, \xrightarrow{po}, \xrightarrow{so}, W, V \rangle$ where

- P is the program,
- A is the set of actions,
- \xrightarrow{po} is the program order, which for each thread t , is a total order over all actions performed by t in A ,
- \xrightarrow{so} is the synchronization order, which is a total order over all synchronization actions in A ,
- W is the write-seen function, which for each read r in A , gives $W(r)$, the write action seen by r in the execution,
- V is the value-written function, which for each write w in A , gives $V(w)$, the value written by w in the execution,
- \xrightarrow{sw} is the synchronizes-with order, a partial order over synchronization actions, and
- \xrightarrow{hb} is the happens-before order.

Well-formed executions

An execution is **well-formed** if

- Each read of a variable x sees a write to x . All reads and writes of volatile variables are volatile actions.
- The happens-before order is a partial order.
- The execution obeys intra-thread consistency.
- The execution obeys synchronization-order consistency.
- The execution obeys happens-before consistency.

Well-formed executions

Each read of a variable x sees a write to x . All reads and writes of volatile variables are volatile actions.

For all reads $r \in A$, we have that $W(r) \in A$ and $W(r).v = r.v$. The variable $r.v$ is volatile if and only if r is a volatile read, and the variable $W(r).v$ is volatile if and only if $W(r)$ is a volatile write.

Recall that W is the write-seen function, which for each read r in A , gives $W(r)$, the write action seen by r in the execution. Also recall that $a.v$ is the variable involved in action a .

Well-formed executions

The execution obeys intra-thread consistency.

“For each thread t , the actions performed by t in A are the same as would be generated by that thread in program-order in isolation, with each write w writing the value $V(w)$, given that each read r sees/returns the value $V(W(r))$. Values seen by each read are determined by the memory model.”

Well-formed executions

The execution obeys synchronization-order consistency.

For each volatile read $r \in A$, it is not the case that $r \xrightarrow{so} W(r)$ and there does not exist a write w such that $w.v = r.v$ and $W(r) \xrightarrow{so} w \xrightarrow{so} r$.

Recall that W is the write-seen function, which for each read r in A , gives $W(r)$, the write action seen by r in the execution. Also recall that $a.v$ is the variable involved in action a .

Well-formed executions

The execution obeys happens-before consistency.

For each read $r \in A$, it is not the case that $r \xrightarrow{hb} W(r)$ and there does not exist a write w such that $w.v = r.v$ and $W(r) \xrightarrow{hb} w \xrightarrow{hb} r$.

Recall that W is the write-seen function, which for each read r in A , gives $W(r)$, the write action seen by r in the execution. Also recall that $a.v$ is the variable involved in action a .

Causality requirements

An execution $\langle P, A, \xrightarrow{po}, \xrightarrow{so}, W, V \rangle$ satisfies the **causality requirements** if there exist

- sets of actions C_0, C_1, \dots such that
 - $C_0 = \emptyset$,
 - $C_i \subset C_{i+1}$,
 - $A = \bigcup_i C_i$,
- well-formed executions $\langle P_i, A_i, \xrightarrow{po_i}, \xrightarrow{so_i}, W, V \rangle$

such that ...

Causality requirements

...

- $C_i \subseteq A_i$,
- $\xrightarrow{hb_j}$ and \xrightarrow{hb} agree on C_i ,
- $\xrightarrow{so_j}$ and \xrightarrow{so} agree on C_i ,
- V_i and V agree on C_i ,
- W_i and W agree on C_i ,
- for each read $r \in A_i \setminus C_{i-1}$, we have that $W_i(r) \xrightarrow{hb_j} r$,
- for each read $r \in C_i \setminus C_{i-1}$, we have that $W_i(r) \in C_{i-1}$ and $W(r) \in C_{i-1}$,
- for all actions $x, y, z \in A_i$, if $x \xrightarrow{ssw_j} y \xrightarrow{hb_j} z$ and $z \in C_i \setminus C_{i-1}$ then $x \xrightarrow{sw_j} y$ for all $j \geq i$,
- for all actions $x, y \in A_i$, if $y \in C_i$, x is an external action and $x \xrightarrow{hb_j} y$ then $x \in C_i$.

Volatile

```
class Volatile
{
    private int value;
    private volatile boolean initialized;

    public void write(int value)
    {
        this.value = value;
        this.initialized = true;
    }

    public void use()
    {
        if (this.initialized)
        {
            // write has been invoked
            ...
        }
    }
}
```