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## Binary Search Trees

$>$ A binary search tree is a proper binary tree storing key-value entries at its internal nodes and satisfying the following property:
$\square$ Let $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$, and $w$ be three nodes such that $\boldsymbol{u}$ is in the left subtree of $v$ and $w$ is in the right subtree of $\boldsymbol{v}$. We have $\boldsymbol{k e y}(\boldsymbol{u})<=\boldsymbol{k e y}(\boldsymbol{v})<=\boldsymbol{k e y}(\boldsymbol{w})$
$>$ We will assume that external nodes are 'placeholders': they do not store entries (makes algorithms a little simpler)
> An inorder traversal of a binary search trees visits the keys in increasing order
> Binary search trees are ideal for maps or dictionaries with ordered keys.


## Binary Search Tree

All nodes in left subtree $\leq$ Any node $\leq$ All nodes in right subtree


## Search: Loop Invariant

$>$ Maintain a sub-tree.
$\Rightarrow$ If the key is contained in the original tree, then the key is contained in the sub-tree.


## Search: Define Step

$>$ Cut sub-tree in half.
$>$ Determine which half the key would be in.
$>$ Keep that half.


If key < root, If key = root, If key $>$ root, then key is then key is then key is in left half. found in right half.

## Search: Algorithm

$>$ To search for a key $\boldsymbol{k}$, we trace a downward path starting at the root
$>$ The next node visited depends on the outcome of the comparison of $\boldsymbol{k}$ with the key of the current node
> If we reach a leaf, the key is not found and return of an external node signals this.
> Example: find(4):
$\square$ Call TreeSearch(4,root)

```
Algorithm TreeSearch(k, v)
    if T.isExternal ( \(v\) )
        return \(v\)
    if \(k<\boldsymbol{k e y}(v)\)
        return TreeSearch(k, T.left(v))
    else if \(k=k e y(v)\)
        return \(v\)
    else \(\{\boldsymbol{k}>\boldsymbol{k e y}(\boldsymbol{v})\}\)
        return TreeSearch(k, T.right(v))
```



## Insertion

$>$ To perform operation insert(k, o), we search for key k (using TreeSearch)
$>$ Suppose $\mathbf{k}$ is not already in the tree, and let $\mathbf{w}$ be the leaf reached by the search
$>$ We insert $\mathbf{k}$ at node $\mathbf{w}$ and expand $\mathbf{w}$ into an internal node
$>$ Example: insert 5


## Insertion

$>$ Suppose $\mathbf{k}$ is already in the tree, at node $\mathbf{v}$.
$>$ We continue the downward search through $\mathbf{v}$, and let $\mathbf{w}$ be the leaf reached by the search
$>$ Note that it would be correct to go either left or right at $\mathbf{v}$. We go left by convention.
$>$ We insert $\mathbf{k}$ at node $\mathbf{w}$ and expand $\mathbf{w}$ into an internal node
$>$ Example: insert 6


## Deletion

$>$ To perform operation remove $(\boldsymbol{k})$, we search for key $\boldsymbol{k}$
$>$ Suppose key $\boldsymbol{k}$ is in the tree, and let $\boldsymbol{v}$ be the node storing $\boldsymbol{k}$
$>$ If node $v$ has an external leaf child $w$, we remove $v$ and $w$ from the tree with operation removeExternal( $w$ ), which removes $w$ and its parent
$>$ Example: remove 4


## Deletion (cont.)

$>$ Now consider the case where the key $\boldsymbol{k}$ to be removed is stored at a node $v$ whose children are both internal
$\square$ we find the internal node $w$ that follows $v$ in an inorder traversal
$\square$ we copy the entry stored at $w$ into node $v$
$\square$ we remove node $w$ and its left child $z$ (which must be a leaf) by means of operation removeExternal(z)
$>$ Example: remove 3


## Performance

$>$ Consider a dictionary with $\boldsymbol{n}$ items implemented by means of a linked binary search tree of height $h$
$\square$ the space used is $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n})$
$\square$ methods find, insert and remove take $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{h})$ time
$>$ The height $\boldsymbol{h}$ is $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n})$ in the worst case and $\boldsymbol{O}(\log \boldsymbol{n})$ in the best case
$>$ It is thus worthwhile to balance the tree (next topic)!
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## AVL Trees

$>$ The AVL tree is the first balanced binary search tree ever invented.
$>$ It is named after its two inventors, G.M. Adelson-Velskii and E.M. Landis, who published it in their 1962 paper "An algorithm for the organization of information."

## AVL Trees

## $>$ AVL trees are balanced.

$>$ An AVL Tree is a binary search tree in which the heights of siblings can differ by at most 1 .


## Height of an AVL Tree

> Claim: The height of an AVL tree storing $n$ keys is $O(\log n)$.

## Height of an AVL Tree

> Proof: We compute a lower bound $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{h})$ on the number of internal nodes of an AVL tree of height $h$.
> Observe that $\mathrm{n}(1)=1$ and $\mathrm{n}(2)=2$

> For $h>2$, a minimal AVL tree contains the root node, one minimal AVL subtree of height $h-1$ and another of height $h-2$.
$>$ That is, $n(h)=1+n(h-1)+n(h-2)$
$>$ Knowing $n(h-1)>n(h-2)$, we get $n(h)>2 n(h-2)$. So

$$
n(h)>2 n(h-2), n(h)>4 n(h-4), n(h)>8 n(n-6), \ldots>2 i n(h-2 i)
$$

$>$ If $h$ is even, we let $i=h / 2-1$, so that $n(h)>2^{h / 2-1} n(2)=2^{h / 2}$
$>$ If $h$ is odd, we let $i=h / 2-1 / 2$, so that $n(h)>2^{h / 2-1 / 2 n} n(1)=2^{h / 2-1 / 2}$
$>$ In either case, $n(h)>2^{h / 2-1}$
$>$ Taking logarithms: $\mathrm{h}<2 \log (\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{h}))+2$
> Thus the height of an AVL tree is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$

## Insertion



## Insertion

> Imbalance may occur at any ancestor of the inserted node.


## Insertion: Rebalancing Strategy

$>$ Step 1: Search
$\square$ Starting at the inserted node, traverse toward the root until an imbalance is discovered.

$$
\text { height }=4
$$

$$
7
$$

## Insertion: Rebalancing Strategy

> Step 2: Repair
$\square$ The repair strategy is called trinode restructuring.
$\square 3$ nodes $x, y$ and $z$ are distinguished: $\diamond z=$ the parent of the high sibling
$\diamond y=$ the high sibling
$\diamond x=$ the high child of the high sibling
$\square$ We can now think of the subtree rooted at $z$ as consisting of these 3 nodes plus their 4 subtrees


## Insertion: Rebalancing Strategy

> Step 2: Repair
$\square$ The idea is to rearrange these 3 nodes so that the middle value becomes the root and the other two becomes its children.
$\square$ Thus the grandparent - parent - child structure becomes a triangular parent two children structure.

- Note that $\mathbf{z}$ must be either bigger than both $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ or smaller than both $\mathbf{x}$ and $y$.
$\square$ Thus either $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{y}$ is made the root of this subtree.
$\square$ Then the subtrees $T_{0}-T_{3}$ are attached at the appropriate places.
$\square$ Since the heights of subtrees $\mathrm{T}_{0}-\mathrm{T}_{3}$ differ by at most 1 , the resulting tree is balanced.



## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring Example



## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - 4 Cases

$>$ There are 4 different possible relationships between the three nodes $x, y$ and $z$ before restructuring:


## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - 4 Cases

$>$ This leads to 4 different solutions, all based on the same principle.


## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - Case 1



## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - Case 2



## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - Case 3



## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - Case 4



## Insertion: Trinode Restructuring - The Whole Tree

$>$ Do we have to repeat this process further up the tree?
$>$ No!
$\square$ The tree was balanced before the insertion.
$\square$ Insertion raised the height of the subtree by 1.
$\square$ Rebalancing lowered the height of the subtree by 1.
$\square$ Thus the whole tree is still balanced.


## Removal

$>$ Imbalance may occur at an ancestor of the removed node.


## Removal: Rebalancing Strategy

> Step 1: Search
$\square$ Let $\boldsymbol{w}$ be the node actually removed (i.e., the node matching the key if it has a leaf child, otherwise the node following in an in-order traversal.
$\square$ Starting at $\boldsymbol{w}$, traverse toward the root until an imbalance is discovered.


## Removal: Rebalancing Strategy

> Step 2: Repair
$\square$ We again use trinode restructuring.
$\square 3$ nodes $x, y$ and $z$ are distinguished:
$\diamond z=$ the parent of the high sibling
$\diamond y=$ the high sibling
$\diamond x=$ the high child of the high sibling (if children are equally high, keep chain linear)
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## Removal: Rebalancing Strategy

> Step 2: Repair
$\square$ The idea is to rearrange these 3 nodes so that the middle value becomes the root and the other two becomes its children.
$\square$ Thus the grandparent - parent - child structure becomes a triangular parent two children structure.
$\square$ Note that $\mathbf{z}$ must be either bigger than both $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ or smaller than both $\mathbf{x}$ and $y$.
$\square$ Thus either $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{y}$ is made the root of this subtree, and $\mathbf{z}$ is lowered by 1.
$\square$ Then the subtrees $T_{0}-T_{3}$ are attached at the appropriate places.
$\square$ Although the subtrees $T_{0}-T_{3}$ can differ in height by up to 2 , after restructuring, sibling subtrees will differ by at most 1 .


## Removal: Trinode Restructuring - 4 Cases

$>$ There are 4 different possible relationships between the three nodes $x, y$ and $z$ before restructuring:


## Removal: Trinode Restructuring - Case 1



## Removal: Trinode Restructuring - Case 2



## Removal: Trinode Restructuring - Case 3



## Removal: Trinode Restructuring - Case 4



## Removal: Rebalancing Strategy

> Step 2: Repair
$\square$ Unfortunately, trinode restructuring may reduce the height of the subtree, causing another imbalance further up the tree.
$\square$ Thus this search and repair process must in the worst case be repeated until we reach the root.

## Java Implementation of AVL Trees

$\Rightarrow$ Please see text

## Running Times for AVL Trees

$>$ a single restructure is $\mathrm{O}(1)$
$\square$ using a linked-structure binary tree
$>$ find is $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$
$\square$ height of tree is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$, no restructures needed
$>$ insert is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
$\square$ initial find is $O(\log n)$
$\square$ Restructuring is $\mathrm{O}(1)$
$>$ remove is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
$\square$ initial find is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
$\square$ Restructuring up the tree, maintaining heights is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$

AVLTree Example
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## Splay Trees

> Self-balancing BST
> Invented by Daniel Sleator and Bob Tarjan
$>$ Allows quick access to recently accessed elements
> Bad: worst-case $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
$>$ Good: average (amortized) case $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
$>$ Often perform better than other BSTs in practice

D. Sleator

R. Tarjan

## Splaying

$>$ Splaying is an operation performed on a node that iteratively moves the node to the root of the tree.
$>$ In splay trees, each BST operation (find, insert, remove) is augmented with a splay operation.
$>$ In this way, recently searched and inserted elements are near the top of the tree, for quick access.

## 3 Types of Splay Steps

$>$ Each splay operation on a node consists of a sequence of splay steps.
$\rightarrow$ Each splay step moves the node up toward the root by 1 or 2 levels.
$>$ There are 2 types of step:
$\square$ Zig-Zig
$\square$ Zig-Zag
$\square$ Zig
$>$ These steps are iterated until the node is moved to the root.

Zig-Zig
$>$ Performed when the node x forms a linear chain with its parent and grandparent.
i.e., right-right or left-left


Zig-Zag
$>$ Performed when the node $x$ forms a non-linear chain with its parent and grandparent
$\square$ i.e., right-left or left-right


## Zig

$>$ Performed when the node $x$ has no grandparent
$\square$ i.e., its parent is the root


## Splay Trees \& Ordered Dictionaries

$>$ which nodes are splayed after each operation?

| method | splay node |
| :--- | :--- |
| find(k) | if key found, use that node <br> if key not found, use parent of external node where search <br> terminated |
| insert(k,v) | use the new node containing the entry inserted |
| remove(k) | use the parent of the internal node $w$ that was actually <br> removed from the tree (the parent of the node that the <br> removed item was swapped with) |

## Recall BST Deletion

$\Rightarrow$ Now consider the case where the key $\boldsymbol{k}$ to be removed is stored at a node $v$ whose children are both internal
$\square$ we find the internal node $w$ that follows $v$ in an inorder traversal
$\square$ we copy $\boldsymbol{k e y}(\boldsymbol{w})$ into node $v$
$\square$ we remove node $w$ and its left child $z$ (which must be a leaf) by means of operation removeExternal(z)

- Example: remove 3 - which node will be splayed?



## Note on Deletion

$>$ The text (Goodrich, p. 463) uses a different convention for BST deletion in their splaying example
$\square$ Instead of deleting the leftmost internal node of the right subtree, they delete the rightmost internal node of the left subtree.
$\square$ We will stick with the convention of deleting the leftmost internal node of the right subtree (the node immediately following the element to be removed in an inorder traversal).

## Splay Tree Example



## Performance

$>$ Worst-case is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
$\square$ Example:
$\diamond$ Find all elements in sorted order
$\diamond$ This will make the tree a left linear chain of height $n$, with the smallest element at the bottom
$\diamond$ Subsequent search for the smallest element will be O(n)

## Performance

$>$ Average-case is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
$\square$ Proof uses amortized analysis
$\square$ We will not cover this
$>$ Operations on more frequently-accessed entries are faster.
$\square$ Given a sequence of $m$ operations, the running time to access entry $i$ is:
$O(\log (m / f(i)))$
where $f(i)$ is the number of times entry $i$ is accessed.

## Other Forms of Search Trees

$>(2,4)$ Trees
$\square$ These are multi-way search trees (not binary trees) in which internal nodes have between 2 and 4 children
$\square$ Have the property that all external nodes have exactly the same depth.
$\square$ Worst-case O(log n) operations
$\square$ Somewhat complicated to implement
> Red-Black Trees
$\square$ Binary search trees
$\square$ Worst-case $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$ operations
$\square$ Somewhat easier to implement
$\square$ Requires only $\mathrm{O}(1)$ structural changes per update

## Summary

$>$ Binary Search Trees
$>$ AVL Trees
> Splay Trees

