Concurrency EECS 4315

www.eecs.yorku.ca/course/4315/

7

```
public class Counter extends Thread {
  private int value;
  public Counter() {
    this.value = 0;
  }
  public void run() {
    this.value++;
  }
}
```

```
public void run() {
  this.value++;
}
```

aload_0 dup getfield iconst_1 iadd putfield return

The app

new

```
public class Main {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Counter one = new Counter();
    Counter two = new Counter();
    one.start();
    two.start();
 }
}
               dup
                               aload_2
new
dup
                . . .
                                . . .
               astore_2
                               return
. . .
astore_1
               aload_1
```

. . .

Draw the corresponding state-transition diagram.

Draw the corresponding state-transition diagram.



Combine these transitions into one.



The actions of the labelled transition system are sequences of bytecode instructions.

State-transition diagram



Next instructions for the main thread:

aload_2

• • •

return

Next instructions for the thread one:

aload_0 dup getfield iconst_1 iadd putfield

Can the bytecode instructions corresponding to the **run** invocation be modelled as a single transition?

Can the bytecode instructions corresponding to the **run** invocation be modelled as a single transition?

Answer

Yes.

Can the bytecode instructions corresponding to the **run** invocation be modelled as a single transition?

Answer

Yes.

Question

Why?

Can the bytecode instructions corresponding to the **run** invocation be modelled as a single transition?

Answer Yes.

Question

Why?

Answer

Because the execution of this method does not impact the other threads.

- We combine the first ten bytecode instructions since there is only one thread.
- We combine the bytecode instructions corresponding to the run invocation because those do not impact the other threads.

- We combine the first ten bytecode instructions since there is only one thread.
- We combine the bytecode instructions corresponding to the **run** invocation because those do not impact the other threads.

Combine those bytecode instructions that do not impact other threads.

Given all the (byte)code of a multi-threaded app, determine for a specific bytecode instruction of a specific thread whether it impacts other threads.

Given all the (byte)code of a multi-threaded app, determine for a specific bytecode instruction of a specific thread whether it impacts other threads.

Question

Give an algorithm that solves the problem.

Given all the (byte)code of a multi-threaded app, determine for a specific bytecode instruction of a specific thread whether it impacts other threads.

Question

Give an algorithm that solves the problem.

Answer

Impossible!

Which other problems cannot be solved?

Which other problems cannot be solved?

Answer

The halting problem: given code and input for that code, determine whether the code terminates.

Given all the (byte)code of a multi-threaded app, determine for a specific bytecode instruction of a specific thread whether it impacts other threads.

Question

Explain (informally) why the problem cannot be solved.

```
public class Writer extends Thread {
   public static boolean shared = false;
```

```
public void run() {
    Writer.shared = true;
}
```

```
public class Reader extends Thread {
  public void run() {
   this.code();
    if (Writer.shared) {
      . . .
   }
  }
  public void code() {
    . . .
 }
}
```

```
public class Main {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
     Reader reader = new Reader();
     Writer writer = new Writer();
     reader.start();
     writer.start();
   }
}
```

Transitions of the Writer thread:



Assume that the code method does not use the attribute Writer.shared. Then the bytecode instruction putstatic of the Writer thread impacts the Reader thread if and only if the method call to code terminates.

Combine those bytecode instructions for which we can prove that they do not impact other threads.

Combine those bytecode instructions for which we can prove that they do not impact other threads.

The idea of combining consecutive transitions labelled with invisible (outside the current thread) actions into a single transition is due to Patrice Godefroid.

Combine those bytecode instructions for which we can prove that they do not impact other threads.

The idea of combining consecutive transitions labelled with invisible (outside the current thread) actions into a single transition is due to Patrice Godefroid.

Examples of invisible actions

- Reading or writing an attribute that can be proved to be not shared.
- Reading or writing a local variable.
- . . .

- Ph.D. degree in Computer Science from the University of Liege, Belgium.
- Worked at Bell Laboratories.
- Currently at Microsoft Research.



Source: Patrice Godefroid

The readers and writers problem, due to Courtois, Heymans and Parnas, is a classical concurrency problem. It models access to a database. There are many competing threads wishing to read from and write to the database. It is acceptable to have multiple threads reading at the same time, but if one thread is writing then no other thread may either read or write. A thread can only write if no thread is reading.

David Parnas

- Canadian early pioneer of software engineering.
- Ph.D. from Carnegie Mellon University.
- Taught at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the Technische Universität Darmstadt, the University of Victoria, Queen's University, McMaster University, and University of Limerick.
- Won numerous awards including ACM SIGSOFT's "Outstanding Research" award.



Source: Hubert Baumeister

Professor emeritus at the Catholic University of Leuven.



Source:

https://www.info.ucl.ac.be/~courtois/

```
public class Reader extends Thread {
    private Database database;
```

```
public Reader(Database database) {
  this.database = database;
}
```

```
public void run() {
   this.database.read();
}
```

```
public class Writer extends Thread {
    private Database database;
```

```
public Writer(Database database) {
  this.database = database;
}
```

```
public void run() {
   this.database.write();
}
```

```
public class Database {
    ...
    public Database() { ... }
    public void read() { ... }
    public void write() { ... }
}
```

```
final int READERS = 5;
final int WRITERS = 2;
Database database = new Database();
for (int r = 0; r < READERS; r++) {
  (new Reader(database)).start();
}
for (int w = 0; w < WRITERS; w++) {
  (new Writer(database)).start();
}
```

If we make both methods synchronized, does that solve the problem?

If we make both methods synchronized, does that solve the problem?

Answer

Yes.

If we make both methods synchronized, does that solve the problem?

Answer

Yes.

Question

Is it a satisfactory solution?

If we make both methods synchronized, does that solve the problem?

Answer

Yes.

Question

Is it a satisfactory solution?

Answer

No.

Why is it not satisfactory?

Why is it not satisfactory?

Answer

It does not allow multiple readers to read at the same time.

When does a reader have to wait until it can start reading?

When does a reader have to wait until it can start reading?

Answer

When a writer is writing.

When does a reader have to wait until it can start reading?

Answer

When a writer is writing.

Question

When does a writer have to wait until it can start writing?

When does a reader have to wait until it can start reading?

Answer

When a writer is writing.

Question

When does a writer have to wait until it can start writing?

Answer

When another writer is writing or a reader is reading.

Question

Of which type of information do we need to keep track so that we can determine

- whether a writer is writing, and
- whether a writer is writing or a reader is reading.

Question

Of which type of information do we need to keep track so that we can determine

- whether a writer is writing, and
- whether a writer is writing or a reader is reading.

Answer

Two booleans.

Question

Of which type of information do we need to keep track so that we can determine

- whether a writer is writing, and
- whether a writer is writing or a reader is reading.

Answer

Two booleans.

Question

What are appropriate names for these two attributes?

Question

Of which type of information do we need to keep track so that we can determine

- whether a writer is writing, and
- whether a writer is writing or a reader is reading.

Answer

Two booleans.

Question

What are appropriate names for these two attributes?

Answer

writing and reading.

Initializing the attributes

Question

```
public class Database {
   private boolean writing;
   private boolean reading;
```

```
}
```

. . .

Where and how are the attributes writing and reading initialized?

Initializing the attributes

Question

```
public class Database {
    private boolean writing;
    private boolean reading;
```

```
}
```

Where and how are the attributes writing and reading initialized?

Answer

. . .

```
public Database() {
  this.writing = false;
  this.reading = false;
}
```

Waiting when a writer is writing

Question
In
<pre>public void read() {</pre>
<pre> \\ read</pre>
···· }
how do we express that a thread has to wait if a writer is writing?

Waiting when a writer is writing



Answer

```
if (this.writing) {
   this.wait();
}
```

The wait method throws an InterruptedException if any thread interrupted the current thread before or while the current thread was waiting for a notification.

Since an **InterruptedException** is a checked exception, it needs to be specified or caught.

```
public void read() {
  if (this.writing) {
   try {
     this.wait();
   } catch (InterruptedException e) {
     e.printStackTrace();
   }
  }
  \\ read
  . . .
}
```

```
public void read() throws InterruptedException {
    if (this.writing) {
        this.wait();
    }
    \\ read
    ...
}
```

When invoking object.wait(), the current thread must own the lock (or monitor) of object. If that is not the case, a **IllegalMonitorStateException** is thrown.

Question

How can we ensure that the current thread owns the lock of the database when executing wait within the read method?

```
private synchronized void beginRead() {
 if (this.writing) {
   try {
     this.wait();
   } catch (InterruptedException e) {
     e.printStackTrace();
   }
 }
}
public void read() {
 beginRead();
 \\ read
  . . .
ን
```

The writing attribute

Question

Where and how do we modify the value of the attribute writing?

Where and how do we modify the value of the attribute writing?

Answer

```
public void write() {
    ...
    this.writing = true;
    // write
    this.writing = false;
    ...
}
```

Waiting when a reader is reading

Question
In
<pre>public void write() {</pre>
<pre> \\ write</pre>
}
how do we express that a thread has to wait if a writer is writing or a reader is reading?

Waiting when a reader is reading

Question
In
<pre>public void write() {</pre>
<pre> \\ write</pre>
}
how do we express that a thread has to wait if a writer is writing or a reader is reading?

Answer

```
if (this.writing || this.reading) {
  this.wait();
}
```

The reading attribute

Question

Where and how do we modify the value of the attribute reading?

The reading attribute

Question

Where and how do we modify the value of the attribute reading?

Answer

```
public void read() {
    ...
    this.reading = true;
    // read
    this.reading = false;
    ...
}
```

The reading attribute

Question

Where and how do we modify the value of the attribute reading?

Answer

```
public void read() {
    ...
    this.reading = true;
    // read
    this.reading = false;
    ...
}
```

Since multiple readers can read at the same time, we cannot set the attribute reading to false after **// read**.